

In The Name of Allaah, The Most Merciful, The Bestower of Mercy.

The writer titled his post: "**Abandoning The Saudi-Iranian Rivalry Metanarrative**"

Firstly, metanarrative means **a type of play, novel, etc. that experiments with or explores the idea of telling a story, often by drawing attention to the fact that it is an invented story, not an account of real events, or an overall account of things that enable people to find belief, pattern and meaning in their experiences, or a narrative account that experiments with or explores the idea of storytelling, often by drawing attention to its own artificiality.**

Indeed, we agree with this title if the intent behind it is to repel conjecture and wishful thinking, but if its intent is to disparage or isolate the authentic Prophetic narrations and verdicts of the scholars by way of which the falsehood of the Raafidah is distinguished from the path of Ahlus Sunnah, then indeed it is a satanic title and a means of confounding truth with falsehood. Allaah [The Exalted] said: **وَلَا تَلْبِسُوا الْحَقَّ بِالْبَاطِلِ وَكُفُّوا الْحَقَّ وَأَنْتُمْ تَعْلَمُونَ** - And mix not truth with falsehood, nor conceal the truth while you know (the truth)]. [Surah Al-Baqarah. Verse 42]

Imaam Ibnul Qayyim [may Allaah have mercy upon him] said, "Allaah forbade (us) from mixing truth with falsehood, and thus leading to concealment of the truth. Mixing truth with false is by mixing the two until one of them is confused for the other. This is forgery and deceit by way of which what is made apparent is the opposite of what it is in reality. **Likewise, when truth is confounded with falsehood, the culprit manifests falsehood in the image of truth and speaks with a statement that carries two meanings- a correct meaning and false meaning, so the listener is under the illusion that the speaker intends the correct meaning, whilst he (i.e. the speaker) intends the corrupt meaning**". [Source: Badaa'i At-Tafseer Al-Jaami Limaa Fassarahu Al-Imaam Ibnul Qayyim' Vol 1, page 124. Abridged and slightly paraphrased]

Therefore, we hope that the writer does not intend that Muslims abandon the authentic texts when dealing with the Raafidah, because indeed, it is well established in our sound religion that people are judged based on their beliefs, path and methodology. Read here: <https://salaficentre.com/2019/08/26/groups-and-parties-are-labelled-and-categorised-based-on-sound-principles-in-order-to-distinguish-ahlus-sunnah-from-ahlul-bidah-but-neither-for-the-purpose-of-mere-name-calling-nor-illegal-partisan-v/>

Secondly, we advice the writer to refrain from the wicked habit of mockery - that he does not depict anyone in cartoon characters, whether a heretical Shiite, a sinful Muslim, an idol worshipper etc. Read here: <https://salaficentre.com/2021/03/04/disgusting-habit-of-mockery-and-depicting-people-heads-of-state-and-others-in-cartoon-characters-brief-reminder-to-a-foolish-ignorant-evil-doer-called-yahya-boston-at-turkish-newspaper-daily-s/>

Thirdly, indeed, we have been put to trial by the opinions of the political analysts, demagoagues and ideologues - those who approach subject matters related to sectarianism whilst either being ignorant of the pure Islamic Aqeedah or they deliberately refrain from making a distinction between truth and falsehood. This is one of the diseases that has afflicted

GÖKHAN ERELI at Daily Sabah! He transmitted his opinions and that of others, but did not offer a distinction between truth and falsehood in the subject matter - a distinction based on the Qur'aan, the authentic Sunnah and the understanding of the Sahaabah [may Allaah be pleased with them]. Therefore, let us quote examine few statements of GÖKHAN ERELI and offer some short comments.

GÖKHAN ERELI said: Saudi Arabia and Iran have been in a political, economic and geopolitical cold war since 1979.

Comment: We hereby reveal what GÖKHAN ERELI has failed to reveal that 1979 was the year of the **Raafidah Revolution**.

GÖKHAN ERELI said: Looking at the religious dimension, the desire of both actors to be the leader and the protector of the Muslim world is a fundamental part of the process of creating their political identity through a vibrant and intentional otherization mechanism.

Comment: Firstly, Saudi Arabia is the birth place of Islaam, but leadership in Islaam constantly alternated throughout Islamic history until the Turks dominated the Islamic world and conquered parts of Europe, and may Allaah grant extensive mercy to all those who stood firm to exalt the statement: Laa Ilaaha Illal laah - There is no deity worthy of worship except Allaah. Shaikh Saaleh Aala ash-Shaikh [may Allaah preserve him] said, "**When the Muslims conquered the different regions of the earth and Islam spread, the affair became as if it started from the non-Arabs, until the people of Persia and other non-Arabs became the scholars and the Imams of the mosques, and people acquired knowledge from them. It is recorded in Islamic history that many non-Arabs led the Muslims in knowledge, in issuing religious verdicts and many affairs. Take for example Abu Haneefah [may Allaah have mercy on him] who was not an Arab, and Imam Al-Bukhaari [may Allaah have mercy on him] and how his book became an upright example, and there's none amongst the Muslims except that he (or she) knows Imam Abu Abdillaah Muhammad Bin Ismaa'eel Al-Bukhaari. And consider other examples – besides Al-Bukhaari and Abu Haneefah – amongst the Imaams of Islaam. So when Islam came with its implementation, it abolished the differences that were initiated by the people and those non-Arabs became the leaders and Imaams of the Arabs; why? Because they carried the religion, raised the banner of pure Islamic monotheism – there is no deity worthy of worship except Allaah and Muhammad is the Messenger of Allaah, and because there is no distinction in virtue between a non-Arab and an Arab, except through fear of Allaah. When the Muslims disciplined themselves with Islaam, there was no dispute between them regarding social class by way of that pre-Islamic dispute and distinction, because indeed neither did they accept leadership nor give precedence to anyone based on this; rather they accepted everyone because people are equal in this affair. Quraish's rulership, the Umayyad rulership and the Abbasid rulership ended; then the rulership of the Mamluks arose and thereafter the rulership of Banee Uthman – meaning in the beginning when it was upright; so the Muslims obeyed them and they became the leaders and rulers, because the Muslims saw that there was welfare for the people in doing so (based on the texts of the Qur'aan and the Sunnah, and**

understanding of the Sahaabah). Therefore, class differentiation and its practice was abolished from the beginning; rather no one had any reservation in implementing Islam. So, Islamic history attests to the implementation of this great principle [i.e. sound equality]". <https://video.link/w/j4azb>

And with regards to what you see as "**political identity through a vibrant and intentional otherization mechanism**", then indeed the Muslims have been forbidden from splitting and blameworthy differing, however either you are a deceiver or an ignorant fool, because the basis of Raafidah Shiite creed necessitates sectarianism and splitting from the sound Prophetic Sunnah. Hafs Bin Humaid [may Allaah have mercy upon him] said, "**I said to Abdullaah Ibnul Mubaarak [may Allaah have mercy upon him], 'How many sects has this Ummah split into?' So he said, 'The foundation of the (deviant) sects are four: The shia, the hurooriyyah (i.e. khawaarij), the qadariyyah and the murji'ah. The shia (further) split into twenty-two sects; the hurooriyyah into twenty-one sects; the qadariyyah into sixteen sects and the murji'ah into thirteen sects'**". [Al-Ibaanah of Ibn Battah 1/379-380]

Therefore, we say to GÖKHAN ERELI, "The Shiites are one of the 72 deviant sects and the deviant sects are those that abandoned the path of the Sahaabah. Allaah [The Exalted] said:

وَمَنْ يُشَاقِقِ الرَّسُولَ مِنْ بَعْدِ مَا تَبَيَّنَ لَهُ الْهُدَىٰ وَيَتَّبِعْ غَيْرَ سَبِيلِ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ نُوَلِّهِ مَا تَوَلَّىٰ وَنُصَلِّهِ ۖ جَهَنَّمَ وَسَاءَتْ مَصِيرًا

And whoever contradicts and opposes the Messenger (Muhammad) after the right path has been shown clearly to him, and follows other than the believers' way. We shall keep him in the path he has chosen, and burn him in Hell – what an evil destination. [Surah An-Nisaa. Verse 115]

The Prophet [peace and blessings of Allaah be upon him] said, "My ummah will divide into 73 sects, all of them will be in the Fire except for one, and that is the Jamā'ah". It was said, "And who are they, O Allah's Messenger?" He (salallāhu 'alaihi wasallam) responded, "That which I and my Companions are upon today". [Read here: <https://www.abukhadeejah.com/this-ummah-will-divide-into-73-sects-shaykh-al-fawzan-explains-what-it-means/>]

Al-Allaamah Zaid Bin Haadi Al-Madkhali [may Allaah preserve him] said, "**The innovator in religious affairs is the cause for the splitting, because splitting is connected to innovation in religion and unity is connected to the Sunnah. The obligation of refuting an opposer of the truth is not lifted from the scholar due to anticipation of harm, unless it is harm he is not able to bear, so (in this case) Allah does not burden a soul beyond what it can bear. The earth is not devoid of people of knowledge who will carry out refutation against an innovator in religious affairs and one who opposes the truth'**". [Al Ajwibah Al Mukhtasar Alaa As-ila Al-Ashrati. Pages43-44]

As for **Shiite Political Identity**, then indeed that is well known. Al-Allaamah Saaleh Al-Fawzaan [may Allaah preserve him] was asked, "Is it correct that the first to innovate the Madhab of the Raafidah was Abdullaah Ibn Saba the Jew?" Response: "Yes, without a doubt. The Raafidah or the Shiites in general are of two types- the Shiites from the fire worshipers and the Shiites from the Jews. They hide behind Islaam in order to corrupt it. **The Jews plotted**

through Abdullaah Ibn Sabah and the first affair he began with was to ignite trial against Uthmaan [may Allaah be pleased with him]". [Listen to here: <https://safeshare.tv/x/krhxTksllR>

Al-Allaamah Muqbil Bin Haadee Al-Waadi'ee [may Allaah have mercy upon him] said:

The Saba'iyyoon are the companions of Abdullaah Bin Saba, who said to Ali, "You are.. you are"- meaning, "You are the deity". Haafidh Adh-Dhahabi [may Allaah have mercy upon him] said, "Abdullaah bin Saba was from the extremists amongst the heretics- misguided and misguiding others". Ibn Asaakir [may Allaah have mercy upon him] said, "He was originally from Yemen- a Jew who manifested Islaam, travelled around the Muslim lands to turn them away from obedience to their leaders and to bring about evil between them, and he entered into Damascus for that reason". Abu Ya'laa [may Allaah have mercy upon him] reported in his Musnad from Al-Jalaas who said, "I heard Ali [may Allaah be pleased with him] saying to Abdullaah Bin Saba, "Indeed, there will be thirty liars at the approach of the hour and you are one of them". Abdullaah bin Saba had followers and they were called the Saba'iyyoon. They believe that Godhead is with Ali. And indeed Ali [may Allaah be pleased with him] burnt them with fire during his Khilaafah. And do not think that the followers of Abdullaah Bin Saba have perished, (rather) there is that leader of misguidance -khumeini, who displays jealousy for Islaam, yet he destroys its pillars. And some of the ignorant ones amongst the Ikhwan al-Muslimoon were deceived by Khumeini, and they used to mention him on the pulpits; but when the Book titled: 'Jaa'a Dawrul Majoos' came out, they were shaken. They keep quiet and did not praise Khumeini. Perhaps the Muslims will take a lesson from the story of Abdullaah Bin Saba and be warned against the machinations and filth of the Raafidah, because their call is based on deception. [An Excerpt from Ilhaadul Khumeini Fee Ardil Haramayn. Pages 125-140.slightly paraphrased]

Al-Allaamah Rabee Bin Haadee al-Madkhalee [may Allaah preserve him] stated regarding the similarities between Raafidah Shiites, the Jews and Christians (i.e. those Jews and Christians who deviated from the pure message of their Prophets), **"The Jews said that leadership is not appropriate except for a man from the family of Dawood. The raafidah say that leadership is not appropriate except for a man from the children of Ali Bin Abee Taalib.** The Jews said that there is no jihaad until the dajjaal appear. The raafidah say that there is no jihaad until the mehdi appears. The Jews delay the maghrib prayer until the stars appear, likewise the raafidah delay it. The Jews distort the (verses and meaning of the) Torah and the raafidah distort the (verses and meanings of the) Qur'aan. The Jews hate Jibreel (peace be upon him) and they say, "He is our enemy amongst the Angels", likewise a group amongst the raafidah say that Jibreel (peace be upon him) made a mistake by giving the revelation to Muhammad (peace and blessings of Allaah be upon him); rather he was sent to Ali. The Jews used to hate Sahaabah and likewise the raafidah hate the Sahaabah. The Christians innovated many religious holydays, likewise the raafidah (innovated the religious holidays) for the days when Umar and uthmaan [may Allaah be pleased with them]. The Christians make pictures of Eesaa and Maryam (may peace be upon them) and place them in their churches, exalt them and prostrate to them. Likewise, the raafidah make pictures of their imaams and exalt them; rather they prostrate to them and to their graves". [An Excerpt from 'Man Hum Irhaabiyyoon, Ahumus Safiyyoon Aw Rawaafid: pages 20 onwards. Slightly paraphrased]

Therefore, we say to GÖKHAN ERELI, "This is the un-Islamic political belief of the Raafidah Shiites! **Just as those Jews who went astray believed that leadership is not appropriate except for a man from the family of Dawood, likewise the raafidah say that leadership is not appropriate except for a man from the children of Ali Bin Abee Taalib!** Did the Sahaabah hold this view of the Raafidah?! Indeed, this is not the belief of any rightly guided Muslim, rather is an innovation of these Raafidah heretics. This is one of the affairs you (GÖKHAN ERELI) failed to detail and distinguish because hastening to to speak. Indeed, haste is from Shaytaan!"

GÖKHAN ERELI said: In geopolitical terms, the narrative seems to be based on the idea that both actors pose a military threat to each other, especially through the mobilization of other countries in the region and the mobilization of other communities. Thus, a groundwork that makes it rare for Saudi Arabia and Iran to have talks is established.

Comment: Indeed, does **GÖKHAN ERELI** expect anything esle when it is the fact that one of the tenets of **Innovated Raafidah Shiite Creed** is that leadership is not appropriate except for a man from the children of Ali Bin Abee Taalib [may Allaah be pleased with him]! This is one of the affairs GÖKHAN failed to address because either he is ignorant of it or he is a deceiver. Therefore, whoever speaks about the Sunni and Shiite division and fails to address the affair based on the Qur'aan, the authentic texts in the Sunnah and the understanding of the pious predecessors, including the four Imaams (Abu Haneefah, Maalik, Shafee'ee and Ahmad (may allaah have mercy upon them), then indeed he is not worthy of speaking in this subject matter. The Raafidah Shiites will always seek to destabilise Muslim countries because their innovated and Un-Islamic beliefs necessitate that they will puruse this goal. Their affair is not simply an "**Axis of Resistance**", rather their goal is to uproot the very foundations of the sound religion of Islam - the Qur'aan, the authentic Sunnah and the understanding of the Sahaabah - and replace it with the ideas of their figuheads, such as Abdullah Bin Sabah, Al-Mu'ghee'rah Bin Sa'eed, Ishaaq Bin Muhammad An-Nakha-ee Al-Ahmar, Khumeini and others.

Who Wad Al-Mu'ghee'rah Bin Sa'eed and What do the scholars say about this heretic?

Al-Allaamah Muqbil Bin Haadee al-Waadi'ee [may Allaah have mercy upon him] stated:

Al-Haafidz Adh-Dhahabi [may Allaah have mercy upon him] stated in 'Al-Meezaan' and Al-Haafidz Ibn Hajr [may Allaah have mercy upon him] stated in 'Lisaan Al-Meezaan' (6/75): "Al-Mughee'rah Bin Saeed Al-Bajalee Al Koofee- the Raafidi, the liar". Ibn Adee [may Allaah have mercy upon him] said, "There was none in Kufa more cursed than Al-Mughee'rah Bin Saeed due to the falsehood he narrated about Ali [may Allaah be pleased with him]. He was always telling lies about the family of the Prophet [peace and blessings of Allaah be upon him] and he had no chain of narration for what he narrated". Al A'mash [may Allaah have mercy upon him] asked the heretic Al-Mugheerah Bin Saeed, "Did Ali give life to the dead?" He replied, "By the One in whose hand my soul is, had Ali wished, he could have brought Aad and Thamud back to life".

AbuBakr Bin Ayyaash [may Allaah have mercy upon him] said, "I saw Khaalid Bin

Abdillaah Al Qasree [an Umayyad governor in Iraq] when he approached Al-Mughee'rah Bin Saeed and his followers; then he [Khaalid] killed a man amongst them and said to Mughee'rah, 'Bring him back to life', because Mugheerah used to hold that he can give life to the dead. So, Al-Mugheerah said, 'I swear by Allaah! I cannot give life to the dead'. Khaalid ordered that grass be brought to kindle a fire; then he said to Al-Mugheerah, 'Enter it', but he refused. Then a man amongst Al-Mugheerah's companions rushed into the fire and burnt completely. So khaalid said to Al-Mugheerah, 'Wallaahi, he is worthier of leadership than you'. Thereafter, he [khaalid] killed Al-Mugheerah and his companions".

Who Was Ishaq Bin Muhammad An-Nakha-ee Al-Ahmar- What do the scholars and Imaams of the Sunnah say about him?

Haafidh Ad-Dha'habee stated in 'Al-Meezaan' and Ibn Hajr stated in 'Lisaanul Meezaan' (1/370): "Ishaq Bin Muhammad An-Nakha'ee Al-Ahmar was a liar and a deviant amongst the Ghulaat (extremists)". Al-Khateeb said: "I heard Abdul Waahid Bin Ali Al-Asadee saying, 'Ishaq bin Muhammad An-Nakha'ee was upon a filthy school of thought, and he said that Ali is Allaah [The Mighty and Majestic]". Al-Hasan Bin Yahyaa An-Nawbakh'tee (a) stated in his book titled 'Ar-Radd Alal Ghulaat' [A refutation against the extremists], "Ishaq Bin Muhammad Al-Ahmar claimed that Ali is Allaah [Glorified be Allaah and free is He from all imperfections] and that Ali manifested himself in Al-Hasan, and then in Al-Husain, and that Ali was the one who sent Muhammad as a Messenger".

These are some of the fairy tales and lies of the Raafidah and [none] can protect you from these falsehoods except Allaah [Glorified be He and free is He from all imperfections]. Therefore, you should hold fast to the Book [of Allaah] and the [authentic] Sunnah of His Messenger, and Allaah guides whomsoever He pleases to the straight path.

And do not think that these fairy tales [or superstitions] have ceased and decreased, [rather] due to these fairy tales, the Raafidah of Iran are still waiting for the emergence of Muhammad Bin Al-Hasan Al-Askari. (b) [An Excerpt from Ilhaadul Khumeini Fee Ardil Haramayn. Pages 125-140.slightly paraphrased]

And one of the main beliefs of the Raafidah Shiites is that they hate the companions of the Prophet. Imaam Laalika'ee [may Allaah have mercy upon him] reported that Imaam Maalik [may allaah have mercy upon him] said, "Whoever curses the companions of Allaah's Messenger [peace and blessings of Allaah be upon him] has no right to the war booty. Allaah [The Mighty and Majestic] said: لِّلْفُقَرَاءِ الْمُهَاجِرِينَ الَّذِينَ أُخْرِجُوا مِنْ دِيَارِهِمْ وَأَمْوَالِهِمْ يَبْتَغُونَ فَضْلًا مِّنَ اللَّهِ وَرِضْوَانًا – (And there is also a share in this booty) for the poor emigrants, who were expelled from their homes and their property, seeking Bounties from Allah and to please him(Surah Al-Hashr. Aayah 8)]. These are the companions of the Messenger of Allaah [sallal-laahu-alayhi-wasallam] who migrated with him.

Then Allaah said: وَالَّذِينَ تَبَوَّءُوا الدَّارَ وَالْإِيمَانَ – And those who, before them, had homes (in Al-Madinah) and had adopted the Faith]. These are the Ansaar.

Then Allaah said: **وَالَّذِينَ جَاءُوا مِنْ بَعْدِهِمْ يَقُولُونَ رَبَّنَا اغْفِرْ لَنَا وَلِإِخْوَانِنَا** – And those who came after them say: Our Lord! Forgive us and our brethren who have preceded us in Faith].

Therefore, the war booty is for these three [categories of people]– whoever curses the companions of the Messenger of Allaah [sallal-laahu-alayhi-wasallam] is not counted amongst [these people] and he has no right to the war booty. [Sharh Usool Al-Ittiqaad Ahlis Sunnah Wal-Jamaa-ah: 7/1268-1269]

Also they hide their filthy beliefs from the unsuspecting Muslims:
<https://salaficentre.com/2021/04/29/a-belief-of-the-rawaafid-that-makes-its-impossible-to-trust-them-in-religious-affairs-and-loyalty-to-muslim-governments/>

These are some of the beliefs of Raafidah Shiites and their allegiance - love and hate is based on this filth. Read here what Al-Allaamah Rabee bin Haadee advised the Muslims of Egypt:
<https://salaficentre.com/2019/11/24/plotted-to-spread-kufr-and-shirk-in-egypt-through-wealth-and-education-a-foiled-plan-of-khumeinis-party-the-shia-raafidah-of-iran/>

Read: Uncovering the Hidden Realities of Hizbollah: Iranian Rafidi Shia Proxies in Other Lands - Saudi Arabia:

<http://www.shia.bs/articles/iwytkfb-uncovering-hizbollah-part-1-origins-of-the-group.cfm>

<http://www.shia.bs/articles/jryakyd-uncovering-hizbollah-part-2—the-doctrines-and-beliefs-of-the-founders-of-hizbollah.cfm>

<http://www.shia.bs/articles/tideqmj-uncovering-hizbollah-part-3---iranian-rafidi-shia-proxies-in-other-lands.cfm>

<http://www.shia.bs/articles/cdqhhbm-uncovering-hizbollah-part-4----iranian-rafidi-shia-proxies-in-other-lands---saudi-arabia.cfm>

<http://www.shia.bs/articles/ehexeoc-uncovering-the-hidden-realities-of-hizbollah-part-5.cfm>

Finally, it was incumbent upon GÖKHAN ERELI to detail and make a distinction or refrain from speech that has no benefit. Imaam Ibnul Qayyim [may Allaah have mercy upon him] said, "The basis of Banee Aadam's misguidance is as a result of 'General Terms' and 'Ambiguous Meanings' [i.e. terms & meanings which can either be utilised for truth or falsehood when not explained in detail and distinguished], especially if they come in contact with a confused mind, then how about when [evil] desires and misguided enthusiasm is added to that? Therefore, ask the One Who keeps the hearts steadfast [i.e. Allaah] to keep your heart firm upon His Religion and not allow you to fall into this darkness". [An Excerpt from 'As-Sawaa'iq Al-Mursalah Alal Jahmiyyah Wal Mu'attilah' – Vol 3. page 927. slightly paraphrased]

Imaam Ibnul Qayyim [may Allaah have mercy upon him] also said, "It is obligated on you to give detail and make a distinction, because unrestricted and general [statements] without clarification has indeed corrupted this existence [i.e. the world], and misguided the intellects and

views [of the people] in every era". Al-Allaamah Saaleh al-Fawzaan [may Allaah preserve him] said, "There has to be detail explanation. The one who is not proficient in giving detail should keep quiet, because benefit is not acquired from his speech. And every time error occurs, its cause is due to an absence of detail explanation regarding truth and falsehood. There has to be detail explanation and distinction and not to mix up [affairs]. Indeed, it may be that there is something of truth and falsehood in an opponent's statement, so all of it is neither deemed to be false nor truth; rather there has to be a distinction between its truth and falsehood. All of it is neither rejected nor accepted; rather a distinction is made regarding the truth and what is correct and the falsehood and error in it. And if you are not proficient in giving detail, then you should not enter into this field". [An Excerpt from At-Ta-leeqaat Al-Mukhtasar Alaa Al-Qaseedah an-Nooniyyah: Vol: 1 page: 216. By Shaikh Saaleh Al-Fawzaan (hafidhahullaah). Slightly paraphrased]

How to Deal With The Raafidah

<https://salaficentre.com/2020/01/04/shia-muslim-shia-islam-myth-mirage-or-reality/>

The Devil's Deception of The Raafidah Shiites- By Shaikh Abu Khadeejah [may Allaah preserve him]: <https://www.abukhadeejah.com/the-devils-deception-of-the-raafidah-shiah/>

Everything You Need to Know About The History and Doctrines of The Rafidi Shia Backstabbers - By Shaikh Abu Iyaad [may Allaah preserve him]: <http://www.shia.bs/index.cfm>

As for the steps taken by the Muslim rulers to achieve peaceful co-existence and repel the plots of the enemies - be it the plots of the Raafidah Shiites, secularists, ideologues or neocolonialists, then indeed we ask Allaah to protect the Muslim rulers and their subjects from every evil Aameen. <https://www.abukhadeejah.com/treaties-with-the-non-muslims-do-they-necessitate-allegiance/>

(a) Al-Hasan Bin Yahyaa An-Nawbakh-tee (rahimahullaah) should not be confused with Hasan ibn Musa An-Nawbakhtee who was a Shia writer.

(b) They claim that this Hasan Al-Askari is hiding in a tunnel waiting to emerge. We'll see the falsity of this claim Inshaa-Allaah.